# SB 1383 (Jackson) JOB KILLER



**GROWERS** 

Fresh produce from our famili













Western





**FAMILY** 

OF CALIFORNIA

**BUSINESS** 

**ASSOCIATION** 













CAWA



















CALIFORNIA TRUCKING ASSOCIATION





CATALYST

CONVENER







Southwest California

Legislative Council





& VISITORS BUREAU



CHAMPION

Chamber of Commerce

Los Angeles Area



NORTH ORANGE COUNTY CHAMBER





Western Manufactured Housing Communities

























Contractors (AGC)



























California ( Seed

Association







**CBIA** 























CHAMBER of COMMERCE



































**UPDATED** 

**JOB KILLER** 

June 29, 2020

Members, California State Senate Sacramento, CA 95814

Sent via email

SUBJECT: SB 1383 (JACKSON) UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE: FAMILY LEAVE OPPOSE AS AMENDED JUNE 29, 2020 – JOB KILLER

The California Chamber of Commerce and the organizations listed below respectfully **OPPOSE SB 1383** (Jackson) as amended on June 29, 2020 as a **JOB KILLER**, as it will significantly harm small employers in California by requiring any employer with only 5 employees to provide 12-weeks of protected leave each year and threatening them with litigation for any unintentional mistake.

**SB 1383** is <u>not limited</u> in scope to only address COVID-19 and will place a significant burden on employers at a time when they can least afford it. Now is not the time to be placing such burdens on employers who are struggling to reopen and rebuild.

### SB 1383 Disproportionately Impacts Small Employers in California with Only 5 Employees:

**SB 1383** imposes a mandatory 12-week leave of absence on any employer with five or more employees. According to the most recent labor market data from the Employment Development Department (EDD), out of California's approximately 1.6 million employers, approximately 173,000 employers in California have between 5-10 employees, and will be limited in their ability to manage this leave.

Specifically, based upon a study conducted on California's **six-week** Paid Family Leave Program in 2011 by Eileen Applebaum and Ruth Milkman, they found the following with regard to small employers:

"The smallest business we visited, an optometrist's office, was the least well equipped to cover leaves. This business only has three employees (apart from the owner), one of whom is a highly skilled technician. When this individual is absent, the optometrist fills in himself and takes fewer clients. Very small businesses like this one do face special challenges since an inevitable effect of their size is that very few co-workers are available to cover the work when someone is absent." (emphasis added)

**SB 1383** imposes a 12-week leave, double the amount of time considered in the above-referenced study. There is not a significant difference between 3 employees referenced in the study and 5 employees as proposed in **SB 1383**. It will devastate small employers.

# SB 1383 Exposes Small Employers to Costly Litigation Even for Unintentional Mistakes:

The leave mandated under **SB 1383** is enforced through a private right of action that includes compensatory damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, punitive damages, and attorney's fees. Any employee who believes an employer did not properly administer the leave, interfered with the leave, or denied the leave, can face litigation.

An employer with only five employees does not have a dedicated human resources team or in-house counsel to advise them on how to properly administer this leave, document it, track it, obtain medical verifications, etc. The regulations on implementing the 12 weeks of leave under CFRA are approximately 36 pages long. A small employer is bound to make an unintentional mistake along the way, which will cost them in litigation.

A 2015 study by insurance provider Hiscox regarding the cost of employee lawsuits under FEHA estimated that the cost for a small to mid-size employer to defend and settle a single plaintiff discrimination claim was approximately \$125,000. This amount, especially for a small employer, reflects the financial risk associated with defending a lawsuit under FEHA, such as the litigation created by **SB 1383**.

While the argument regarding litigation has previously been that no employee will pursue litigation under CFRA against an employer who has provided the required leave, cases show otherwise: in *Richey v. Autonation*, 60 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 909 (2015), an employee took CFRA leave from his employer for 12 weeks due to his own medical condition. However, while on "medical leave," the employee opened and worked at his own restaurant. The employer fired the employee and the employee sued the employer for retaliation for taking CFRA leave. Although the employer ultimately prevailed, the employer had to pay for litigation for over six years. *See also McDaneld v. Eastern Municipal Water District Board*, 109 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 702 (2003) (finding against employee who sued his employer for violation of CFRA after employee was terminated because he was found golfing and performing intermittent sprinkler installation/repair while he had

requested time off to care for his father); Rankins v. Verizon Communications Co.(unpublished) 2007 WL 241154 (finding against employee who sued employer for violation of CFRA when the employee was terminated by employer for submitting false medical certification/letter for CFRA leave); Holley v. Waddington North America, Inc. (unpublished) 2012 WL 883134 (finding against employee who sued employer for interference with his rights under CFRA, even though employer provided the employee with over 14 months of leave).

### SB 1383 Imposes a Significant Administrative Burden:

Providing leave under CFRA is not as simple as just counting out 12 weeks on a calendar and providing that time off. For medical conditions, employees can take the leave in increments as small as one to two hours at a time. An employee is only required to provide an employee with "reasonable notice," which is subjective and can literally be minutes before a shift begins – leaving an employer with limited employees in a challenging situation.

Also, an employer must track the time off as "CFRA leave" or it may not count against the 12 weeks. Retroactively designating leave as "CFRA" is a risky employment practice that could lead to litigation.

Small employers do not have dedicated staff to track and document each hour an employee takes off for CFRA leave.

## SB 1383 Adds Costs to Small Employers Even Though It Is Not Paid:

Even though the leave required in **SB 1383** is not "paid" by the employer, that does not mean the employer will not endure added costs. The leave is "protected," meaning an employer must return the employee to the same position the employee had before going out on leave. This means holding a position open for three months or more. While an employer can temporarily fill the position with a new employee, that replacement usually comes at a premium. A replacement employee knows it is short term and, therefore, requires a premium wage, is less dedicated to the position, and often leaves for a better opportunity at a moment's notice. Also, many jobs require extensive amount of time and money to train a new employee, adding another cost. Some employers shift the work to other existing employees, which often leads to overtime pay. And, most of the leaves of absence require employers to maintain health benefits while the employee is out.

Due to the passage of AB 5, the option to hire an independent contractor to fill the position is either extremely restricted or eliminated.

#### The 12-Weeks of Leave in SB 1383 is in Addition to Other Existing Leaves on Small Employers:

This 12-week leave of absence on small employers cannot be viewed in isolation, but must be considered with regard to all of the other California specific leaves employers must juggle including the following: Pregnancy Disability Leave (up to four months); disability leave under Fair Employment and Housing Act (no specific amount of time – but not unlimited either. The leave provided must be considered as a "reasonable" accommodation for the disability); Worker's Compensation injury (amount of leave based upon doctor's recommendation); California Paid Sick Leave (minimum of 3 days); Paid leave for Organ/Bone Marrow Donation Leave (30 days/year); Jury Duty Leave (unlimited); Victim of Crime or Witness Leave (unlimited); Victim of Domestic Violence/Sexual Assault (unlimited); Emergency Duty of volunteer firefighters, reserve peace officers, or emergency rescue personnel (unlimited); Civil Air Patrol Leave (10 days/year); School Suspension Leave (unlimited); School Activities Leave (40 hours/year).

# For Employers with 50 or More Employees, SB 1383 Will Expand the Amount of Protected Leave an Employee May Take to Half of a Year:

**SB 1383** changes requirements for qualifying for the California Family Rights Act (CFRA) leave by amending the definition of family member for whom the employee can take leave. This means that the Family and Medical Leave Act's (FMLA) and CFRA's qualifying requirements no longer conform with each other. This is a significant issue because California cannot preempt or limit the application of federal law under FMLA. In other words, simply because the employee already took leave under CFRA does not negate their ability to then qualify for FMLA leave as well.

CFRA leave provides qualifying employees with 12 weeks of job protected leave during a 12-month period for his or her own medical condition or the medical condition of his or her spouse, child or parent, or for the birth, adoption or foster care placement of a child. The federal equivalent of CFRA is FMLA. CFRA and FMLA leave normally run together, so the total time taken is a maximum of 3 months.

However, **SB 1383** greatly expands the definition of "family member" to include a child of a domestic partner, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, or domestic partner. Additionally, the bill removes the requirement that a "child" be under the age of 18 or a dependent adult child. Because a domestic partner, a child of a domestic partner, a grandparent, a grandchild, or a sibling are not family members covered under FMLA, these leaves will not coincide.

Accordingly, the employee could take leave under **SB 1383** for 3 months to care for a domestic partner, child of a domestic partner, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling, return to work, and then take another 3 months off under FMLA for the employee's own medical condition or the medical condition of a spouse, child or parent or for the birth, adoption or foster care placement of a child.

3 months - CFRA leave for a domestic partner, child of a domestic partner, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling;

# PLUS (+)

3 months - FMLA leave for his or her own medical condition or the medical condition of his or her spouse, child or parent, or for the birth, adoption or foster care placement of a child.

Thus, SB 1383 creates 6 months of job protected leave for employers covered by FMLA.

Notably, an employee can take intermittent leave under CFRA and FMLA in increments as small as one hour at a time, thereby providing an extensive amount of protected time off for California employees that California employers would have to administer and track properly in order to protect themselves against potential liability. The initial intent of CFRA was to provide a balance between an individual's work life and personal life. However, this proposed change would certainly disrupt that balance and negatively impact California employers.

#### **SB 1383 Is Not Necessary to Implement the Budget:**

**SB 1383** does not impact the budget in any way. It is a policy change with no appropriation.

For these reasons, we respectfully **OPPOSE SB 1383** as a **JOB KILLER**.

Sincerely.

Jennifer Barrera

**Executive Vice President** 

California Chamber of Commerce

American Institute of Architects California

American Pistachio Growers

Associated Builders and Contractors of California - Northern California Chapter

**Associated General Contractors** 

Association of California Egg Farmers

**Auto Care Association** 

**Brea Chamber of Commerce** 

Building Owners and Managers Association

California Agricultural Aircraft Association

California Apple Commission

California Association of Joint Powers Authorities

California Association of Wheat Growers

California Association of Winegrape Growers

California Attractions and Parks Association

California Bankers Association

California Bean Shippers Association

California Blueberry Association

California Blueberry Commission

California Building Industry Association

California Business Properties Association

California Business Roundtable

California Cattlemen's Association

California Citrus Mutual

California Craft Brewers Association

California Dental Association

California Employment Law Council

California Farm Bureau Federation

California Financial Services Association

California Food Producers

California Forestry Association

California Fresh Fruit Association

California Grain and Feed Association

California Grocers Association

California Hospital Association

California Hotel & Lodging Association

California Landscape Contractors Association

California Manufacturers and Technology Association

California New Car Dealers Association

California Pear Growers Association

California Restaurant Association

California Retailers Association

California Seed Association

California Special Districts Association

California State Council of the Society for Human Resource Management (CalSHRM)

California State Floral Association

California Tomato Growers Association

California Travel Association

California Trucking Association

Camarillo Chamber of Commerce

California Warehouse Association

CAWA – Representing the Automotive Parts Industry

Chambers of Commerce Alliance - Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties

Civil Justice Association of California

Commercial Real Estate Development Association - NAIOP of California

Construction Employers' Association

**CSAC Excess Insurance Authority** 

Dana Point Chamber of Commerce

El Centro Chamber of Commerce

El Dorado County Chamber of Commerce

El Dorado Hills Chamber of Commerce

Family Business Association of California

Far West Equipment Dealers Association

Flasher Barricade Association

Folsom Chamber of Commerce

Fountain Valley Chamber of Commerce

Fresno Chamber of Commerce

Gateways Chamber Alliance

Gilroy Chamber of Commerce

Greater Coachella Valley Chamber of Commerce

Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce

International Council of Shopping Centers Laguna Niguel Chamber of Commerce League of California Cities Long Beach Chamber of Commerce Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce Modesto Chamber of Commerce Murrieta/Wildomar Chamber of Commerce National Federation of Independent Business Nisei Farmers League North Orange County Chamber Oceanside Chamber of Commerce Official Police Garages of Los Angeles Olive Growers Council of California Orange County Business Council Pleasanton Chamber of Commerce Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce Redding Chamber of Commerce Salinas Valley Chamber of Commerce San Clemente Chamber of Commerce San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce Santee Chamber of Commerce Silicon Valley Organization Southwest California Legislative Council Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce Tracy Chamber of Commerce **UCAN Chambers of Commerce** Western Electrical Contractors Association Western Growers Association Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association Western Plant Health

Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce

cc: Anthony Williams, Office of the Governor Cory Botts, Senate Republican Caucus Scott Seekatz, Senate Republican Caucus

JB:II